research papers

Acta Crystallographica Section D Biological Crystallography ISSN 0907-4449

Yoshiteru Sato,^a Yohei Maeda,^a Satoru Shimizu,^a Md Tofazzal Hossain,^a Souichirou Ubukata,^b Kaoru Suzuki,^b Takeshi Sekiguchi^b and Akio Takénaka^a*

^a Graduate School of Bioscience and Biotechnology, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Nagatsuta, Midori-ku, Yokohama 226-8501, Japan, and ^bCollege of Science and Engineering, Iwaki-Meisei University, Chuou-dai-Iino, Iwaki, Fukushima 970-8551, Japan

Correspondence e-mail: atakenak@bio.titech.ac.jp

Structure of the nondiscriminating aspartyl-tRNA synthetase from the crenarchaeon Sulfolobus tokodaii strain 7 reveals the recognition mechanism for two different tRNA anticodons

In protein synthesis, 20 types of aminoacyl-tRNA synthetase (aaRS) are generally required in order to distinguish between the 20 types of amino acid so that each achieves strict recognition of the cognate amino acid and the cognate tRNA. In the crenarchaeon Sulfolobus tokodaii strain 7 (St), however, asparaginyl-tRNA synthetase (AsnRS) is missing. It is believed that AspRS instead produces Asp-tRNA^{Asn} in addition to Asp-tRNA^{Asp}. In order to reveal the recognition mechanism for the two anticodons, GUC for aspartate and GUU for asparagine, the crystal structure of St-AspRS (nondiscriminating type) has been determined at 2.3 Å resolution as the first example of the nondiscriminating type of AspRS from crenarchaea. A structural comparison with structures of discriminating AspRSs indicates that the structures are similar to each other overall and that the catalytic domain is highly conserved as expected. In the N-terminal domain, however, the binding site for the third anticodon nucleotide is modified to accept two pyrimidine bases, C and U, but not purine bases. The C base can bind to form a hydrogen bond to the surrounding main-chain amide group in the discriminating AspRS, while in the nondiscriminating AspRS the corresponding amino-acid residue is replaced by proline, which has no amide H atom for hydrogen-bond formation, thus allowing the U base to be accommodated in this site. In addition, the residues that cover the base plane are missing in the nondiscriminating AspRS. These amino-acid changes make it possible for both C and U to be accepted by the nondiscriminating AspRS. It is speculated that this type of nondiscriminating AspRS has been introduced into Thermus thermophilus through horizontal gene transfer.

1. Introduction

In general, aminoacylation of tRNA is performed by aminoacyl-tRNA synthetase (aaRS¹) through the aminoacylation of AMP and transfer of the aminoacyl group to tRNA. In order to ensure translation accuracy, 20 types of aaRSs are required to distinguish between the 20 types of amino acid. In order to attach a specific amino acid (aa) to the CCA terminus of the corresponding $tRNA$ ($tRNA^{aa}$), each aaRS must recognize the amino acid and the anticodon and/or other characteristic parts of the tRNA^{aa} (Cavarelli & Moras, 1993; Cusack, 1997; Beuning & Musier-Forsyth, 1999). Based on their distinct catalytic domains, aaRSs are divided into two classes (I and II), with ten enzymes in each (Eriani et al., 1990; Cusack et al., 1990). For instance, AspRS (aspartyl- $tRNA^{Asp}$ synthetase)

 \odot 2007 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Denmark – all rights reserved

¹ RS and the variable aa represent aminoacyl-tRNA synthetase and aminoacid three-letter code, respectively.

Received 16 April 2007 Accepted 3 August 2007

PDB Reference: aspartyltRNA synthetase, 1wyd,

r1wydsf.

and AsnRS belong to class II, while GluRS and GlnRS belong to class I.

Recent genomic analyses have revealed that some organisms constitute an exception in which the correspondence between amino acid and aaRS is not complete (Kawarabayasi et al., 1999, 2001). In the crenarchaea Sulfolobus tokodaii strain 7 (St) and Aeropyrum pernix K1 (Ap), the genes for AsnRS (class II) and GlnRS (class I) are missing from their genomes (http://www.bio.nite.go.jp/dogan). In such organisms, it is believed that AspRS (class II) catalyzes the reaction producing Asp-tRNA A_{an} as well that producing Asp-tRNA A_{ap} (Becker & Kern, 1998; Curnow et al., 1998). Subsequently, the aspartyl group attached to tRNA^{Asn} is converted to the asparaginyl group by another enzyme, GatCAB (Curnow et al., 1998). In this case, AspRS only catalyzes the aspartylation of AMP, but it must accept two different anticodons, GUC and GUU for Asp and Asn, respectively, by excluding the other anticodons (Becker & Kern, 1998). Gln-tRNA GIn is produced</sup> in a similar way in class I (Curnow et al., 1998). To distinguish them from the normal or original aaRSs (the discriminating type), they are referred to as the nondiscriminating type (Curnow et al., 1998).

Some bacteria such as Thermus thermophilus (Tt) and Deinococcus radiodurans (Dr) were found to contain genes for both the discriminating type and the nondiscriminating type (Becker & Kern, 1998; Curnow et al., 1998). However, St and Ap have only the nondiscriminating type, suggesting that they are the true ancestor in which the nondiscriminating system originated (Shiba et al., 1998). To establish the recognition mechanism for the two anticodons as well as their evolutionary relationship, we initiated X-ray analyses of the nondiscriminating AspRS and GluRS from St and Ap. This paper will describe and discuss the structural details of

A $2F_o - F_c$ map around the Asp227 residue, contoured at $\rho = 1.2\sigma$.

Table 1

Statistics of data processing and structure refinement.

Values in parentheses are for the outer shell.

$38.0 - 2.3$
394690
54522
99.2 (99.2)
8.6(26.2)
5.8(1.5)
7.2
$P2_12_12$
116.0
139.3
75.3
2
$30 - 2.3$
48969
22.9
26.5
6789
2
\overline{c}
\mathfrak{D}
220
0.02
1.5
0.4

† $R_{\text{merge}} = 100 \times \sum_{\mathbf{h}_j} |I_{\mathbf{h}_j} - \langle I_{\mathbf{h}} \rangle| / \sum_{\mathbf{h}_j} I_{\mathbf{h}_j}$, where $I_{\mathbf{h}_j}$ is the jth measurement of the intensity
of reflection h and $\langle I_{\mathbf{h}} \rangle$ is its mean value. $\ddagger Z Z$ is the number of subun asymmetric unit. § R factor = $100 \times \sum |F_o| - |F_c| / \sum |F_o|$, where $|F_o|$ and $|F_c|$ are the observed and calculated structure-factor amplitudes, respectively. \parallel Calculated using a random set containing 10% of observations that were not included throughout refinement (Brünger, 1992).

St-AspRS and compare them with those of the discriminating AspRS.

2. Methods and materials

The AspRS gene of S. tokodaii strain 7 was overexpressed in Escherichia coli BL21(DE3) using plasmid pET-11a and the protein was purified according to the method described previously (Suzuki et al., 2007). Crystals suitable for X-ray experiments were obtained under the previously described conditions. X-ray data were collected at 100 K using synchrotron radiation ($\lambda = 1.00 \text{ Å}$) at beamline NW12A of PF (Tsukuba, Japan). Diffraction data were recorded on a 210 \times 210 mm CCD detector array (ADSC Q210) positioned 200 mm from the crystal. Each frame was taken with 1° oscillation and 1 s exposure with an ω range of 0-180°. Diffraction patterns were processed at 2.3 Å resolution using the program CrystalClear (Rigaku/MSC) and intensities were converted to amplitudes using programs from the CCP4 suite (Collaborative Computational Project, Number 4, 1994). Detailed data-processing statistics are given in Table 1.

Initial phases were derived by molecular replacement using the Tk-AspRS structure from Thermococcus kodakaraensis (PDB code 1b8a; Schmitt et al., 1998) as a search model in AMoRe (Navaza, 1994). The molecular structure was built into the electron-density map using the program QUANTA

(Accelrys Inc.). After rigid-body refinement, the atomic parameters were refined with the programs REFMAC (Murshudov et al., 1997) in CCP4i (Potterton et al., 2003) and CNS (Brünger *et al.*, 1998) through a combination of rigidbody, simulated-annealing, crystallographic conjugategradient minimization, B-factor and maximum-likelihood refinement. The structure was revised by interpreting OMIT maps at every residue. 220 water molecules, two chloride ions, two HEPES molecules and two sulfate ions that were found in $|F_{o}| - |F_{c}|$ maps (>2.5 σ) were included in the final refinement. The final R factor was 22.9% for 30–2.3 \AA resolution data $(R_{free} = 26.5$ for 10% of the observed data). Statistical data for the structure determination are given in Table 1. Fig. 1 shows a $2F_o - F_c$ map drawn using the program O (Jones *et al.*, 1991). For structural comparisons, the program LSQMAN (Kleywegt & Jones, 1994) was used to superimpose corresponding C^{α} atoms. Figs. 2, 4, 5 and 6 were produced using PyMOL (http:// pymol.sourceforge.net).

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Overall structure

St-AspRS is a homodimeric enzyme, with each subunit comprising 429 residues (see Fig. 2). The two subunits are crystallographically independent, but their structures are

similar to each other, with an r.m.s.d. of 0.38 Å between the corresponding C^{α} atoms. Therefore, only one of the two subunits will be discussed below. Comparisons of the structure with those reported previously (Ruff et al., 1991; Schmitt et al., 1998; Charron et al., 2003) make it possible to describe the following structural features. Fig. 3 shows the topology of the secondary structure. The subunit consists of an N-terminal anticodon-binding domain (residues 1–96) and a C-terminal catalytic domain (residues 128–429). These two domains are linked together by the hinge domain (residues 97–127).

The anticodon-binding domain adopts a standard OB-fold (Murzin, 1993) formed by a five-stranded β -barrel (S1–S5) with an α -helix (H α , residues 57–60) between strands S3 and S4. The L1 loop (residues 163–171) between S4 and S5 protrudes, suggesting that it can make contact with the anticodon loop of tRNA. The catalytic domain is easily identified from the characteristic class II motifs 1 (residues 145–160), 2 (residues 198–223) and 3 (residues 389–422) (Eriani et al., 1990). As shown in Fig. 4, this domain is composed of a six-stranded antiparallel β -sheet typical of class II aaRSs (Cusack et al., 1990; Eriani et al., 1995). The flipping loop (residues 163–171) is essential for the binding of ATP and for catalytic action (Schmitt et al., 1998). The catalytic site contains space for the specific binding of aspartic acid to generate aspartyl-AMP in the first reaction; the aspartyl group

Figure 2

Ribbon drawings of dimeric St-AspRS (a) and its subunit structure (b) . In (a), the N-terminal, hinge and C-terminal domains are coloured green, blue and purple, respectively. In (b) , β -strands, helices and loops are coloured light green, orange and blue, respectively. The catalytic domain is found in the C-terminal domain containing the three motifs 1, 2 and 3 characteristic of the class II aaRS. The N-terminal domain adopts the OB-folding suggestive of the anticodon-binding domain.

is transferred to the CCA terminus of the cognate $tRNA^{Asp}$ in the second reaction. In the present crystal, however, this space is occupied by several water molecules and a HEPES molecule, since the protein was crystallized without substrates.

3.2. Comparison with a discriminating AspRS

To characterize the differences of the structure from the discriminating AspRSs, the St-AspRS structure is compared with those reported previously, in particular Tk-AspRS from the archaeon T. kodakaraensis KOD1 (Schmitt et al., 1998). The enzymes are both dimeric and are similar to each other. When superimposed on each other, the r.m.s.d.s of corresponding C^{α} atoms are 1.81 , 0.94 and 1.20 Å between the subunits, between the anticodonbinding domains and between the catalytic domains, respectively. These values indicate that the relative orientation of the two domains differs slightly from that in Tk -AspRS at the flexible hinge domain responsible for tRNA binding.

In the catalytic domain, the essential amino-acid residues, with the exception of motifs 1, 2 and 3, are highly conserved even in the nondiscriminating AspRS. When the active-site residues are superimposed between St-AspRS and Tk-AspRS, these residues fit well to each other, as shown in Fig. 4. Therefore, the binding geometries of ATP, aspartate and aspartyl-AMP in Tk-AspRS (Schmitt et al., 1998) are expected to be applicable to the present nondiscriminating enzyme. The residues Glu167, Gln189, Lys192, Arg210, Glu212, Asp227, Glu352, Ser355, Arg359, Arg403 are highly conserved in the active site of both enzymes. It is surprising to see that Gln189, Lys192, Ser355 and Arg359 maintain their side-chain conformations to recognize aspartate. The side-chain conformation of Glu352 is also maintained to bind Mg^{2+} ions for ATP binding. The remaining residues are likely to become reoriented when ATP binds. These features of the nondiscriminating enzyme are reasonable as this domain only acts in aspartylation.

The OB-fold of the anticodonbinding domain is also similar to that of

Tk-AspRS. However, this domain must differ in its anticodon recognition because St-AspRS accepts the two different tRNAs for aspartate and asparagine, whereas Tk-AspRS only

Figure 3

A topological diagram of the secondary structure of St-AspRS. The secondary structure was assigned using the program PROCHECK (Laskowski et al., 1993). β -Strands, helices and loops are drawn as light green arrows, as orange rods and as blue lines, respectively. The N-terminal and C-terminal domains are linked by a hinge domain.

Figure 4

Stereoview of the catalytic sites superimposed between St-AspRS (yellow) and Tk-AspRS (blue). The bound aspartate and ATP are coloured green and red. The grey spheres indicate bound magnesium cations. The hydrogen-bonding interactions in Tk -AspRS are shown as dotted lines. The residues from St are labelled.

research papers

accepts $tRNA^{Asp}$. In the *St* genome only one codon is found for aspartate and one for asparagine, the anticodons being GUC and GUU, respectively (Kawarabayasi et al., 2001). The difference between the anticodons occurs at the third nucleotide. Therefore, the nondiscriminating AspRS must accept C and U but not G and A at the third residue. In order to discuss the recognition mechanism, tRNA-binding models of St-AspRS and Tk-AspRS have been constructed based on the structure of Sc-AspRS in complex with Sc -tRNA^{Asp} (PDB code 1asy; Ruff et al.,

1991).

3.3. Anticodon recognition

Fig. 5 shows the tRNA-binding models of St-AspRS and Tk-AspRS, together with the X-ray structure of Sc-AspRS bound to the cognate tRNA. In the discriminating Sc-AspRS and Tk-AspRS the third anticodon cytosine residue (C36) is covered by the L1 loop (Fig. $5b$). In the nondiscriminating St-AspRS, however, one side of the cytosine base appears to be exposed to the outside. The detailed structures suggest that in the discriminating AspRS, the C36 residue of tRNA is recognized through hydrogen bonds between N4 of the cytosine base and the main-chain carbonyl O atom of Lys83 and between N3 of the cytosine base and the main-chain amide group of Lys85, similar to the case in Sc-AspRS. On the other hand, in the nondiscriminating $St-AspRS$ (Fig. 5c) the C36 base cannot form such hydrogen bonds, since the nearest residue is Pro82, which has no amide proton. Furthermore, the Pro82 and Ala81 residues in the L1 loop shift away from the third residue of the anticodon to make a space to accept a uracil base as well as a cytosine base. Similar proline replacements at this site are conserved in other nondiscriminating AspRSs (Charron et al., 2003; Feng et al., 2005). These local changes might make it possible for the normal (discriminating) AspRS to accept the two different anticodons of tRNA^{Asp} and tRNA^{Asn}. In order to confirm this hypothesis, it is necessary to analyze the crystal structures of St-AspRS in complex with $tRNA^{Asp}$ and $tRNA^{Asn}$.

Thermus thermophilus (Tt) is an unusual organism in that it contains two genes for AspRS in the genome, AspRS-1 being the discriminating type and AspRS-2 the nondiscriminating type (Charron et al., 2003). The structure of Tt -AspRS-2 is similar to that of St-AspRS and the residue corresponding to Pro72 is also conserved. From an L1 loop-swapping experiment based on a mutual comparison between the AspRS-1 and AspRS-2 structures, Feng et al. (2005) proved that the proline residue in question is a crucial residue for the nondiscriminating function.

Suzuki *et al.* (2007) showed that the sequence identity between $St-AspRS$ and $Tt-AspRS-2$ is higher than that between Tt -AspRS-1 and Tt -AspRS-2. This suggests that the nondiscriminating AspRS of organisms that employ two

Figure 5

The anticodon loop of tRNA bound in the N-terminal domains. (a) Structural models of St -AspRS (blue) and Tk-AspRS (green) constructed by superimposition of the N-terminal domains onto the observed structure of Sc -AspRS in complex with the cognate tRNA^{Asp} (grey). The detailed structures of Tk-AspRS (green) and St-AspRS (blue) are superimposed on that of Sc -AspRS (yellow) in (b) and (c), respectively. The broken lines indicate hydrogenbonding interactions in Sc-AspRS and those expected in the other AspRSs. The residues of St, Tk and Sc are coloured blue, green and orange, respectively. The r.m.s.d.s of corresponding C^{α} atoms are 1.23 Å between St -AspRS and Sc -AspRS and 1.38 Å between Tk-AspRS and Sc -AspRS.

Figure 6

The H α helices behind the anticodon-binding sites found in the discriminating Tk-AspRS and Sc-AspRS (left column, a and b , respectively) and in the nondiscriminating St -AspRS (right column c). The corresponding part is rewound in Tt -AspRS. Some amino-acid residues at the N-terminal ends are omitted for clarity: 14 in Tk-AspRS, 104 in Sc-AspRS, 14 in St-AspRS and 11 in Tt-AspRS (d).

AspRS systems came from crenarchaeota through horizontal gene transfer. However, there is a significant difference between the two structures in the anticodon-binding domain. In all AspRS structures solved to date (discriminating and nondiscriminating), an $H\alpha/L\alpha$ motif exists on the opposite side of the anticodon-recognition site (see Fig. 6), with the exception of Tt-AspRS-2. This suggests that the H α helix in Tt-AspRS-2 is rewound into a loop. Such a structural change may, however, be irrelevant to the evolution of the nondiscriminating function.

We thank N. Igarashi and S. Wakatsuki for facilities and help during data collection, E. C. M. Juan for proofreading the original manuscript and D. Moras for giving us valuable comments. This work was supported in part by the Grants-in-Aid for Protein3000 Research Program from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology of Japan.

References

- Becker, H. D. & Kern, D. (1998). Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA, 95, 12832–12837.
- Beuning, P. J. & Musier-Forsyth, K. (1999). Biopolymers, 52, 1–28.
- Brünger, A. T. (1992). Nature (London), 355, 472–475.
- Brünger, A. T., Adams, P. D., Clore, G. M., DeLano, W. L., Gros, P., Grosse-Kunstleve, R. W., Jiang, J.-S., Kuszewski, J., Nilges, M., Pannu, N. S., Read, R. J., Rice, L. M., Simonson. T. & Warren, G. L. (1998). Acta Cryst. D54, 905–921.
- Cavarelli, J. & Moras, D. (1993). FASEB J. 7, 79–86.
- Charron, C., Roy, H., Blaise, M., Giegé, R. & Kern, D. (2003). EMBO J. 22, 1632–1643.
- Collaborative Computational Project, Number 4 (1994). Acta Cryst. D50, 760–763.
- Curnow, A. W., Tumbula, D. L., Pelaschier, J. T., Min, B. & Söll, D. (1998). Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 95, 12838–12843.
- Cusack, S. (1997). Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol. 7, 881–889.
- Cusack, S., Berthet-Colominas, C., Härtlein, M., Nassar, N. & Leberman, R. (1990). Nature (London), 347, 249–255.
- Eriani, G., Cavarelli, J., Martin, F., Ador, L., Rees, B., Thierry, J. C., Gangloff, J. & Moras, D. (1995). J. Mol. Evol. 40, 499– 508.
- Eriani, G., Delarue, M., Poch, O., Gangloff, J. & Moras, D. (1990). Nature (London), 347, 203–206.
- Feng, L., Yuan, J., Toogood, H., Tumbula, D. L. & Söll, D. (2005). J. Biol. Chem. 280, 20638–20641.
- Jones, T. A., Zou, J.-Y., Cowan, S. W. & Kjeldgaard, M. (1991). Acta Cryst. A47, 110–119.
- Kawarabayasi, Y. et al. (1999). DNA Res. 6, 83–101.
- Kawarabayasi, Y. et al. (2001). DNA Res. 8, 123–140.
- Kleywegt, G. J. & Jones, T. A. (1994). CCP4/ESF–EACBM Newsl. Protein Crystallogr. 31, 9–14.
- Laskowski, R. A., MacArthur, M. W., Moss, D. S. & Thornton, J. M. (1993). J. Appl. Cryst. 26, 283–291.
- Murshudov, G. N., Vagin, A. A. & Dodson, E. J. (1997). Acta Cryst. D53, 240–255.
- Murzin, A. G. (1993). EMBO J. 12, 861–867.
- Navaza, J. (1994). Acta Cryst. A50, 157–163.
- Potterton, E., Briggs, P., Turkenburg, M. & Dodson, E. (2003). Acta Cryst. D59, 1131–1137.
- Ruff, M., Krishnaswamy, S., Boeglin, M., Poterszman, A., Mitschler, A., Podjarny, A., Rees, B., Thierry, J. C. & Moras, D. (1991). Science, 252, 1682–1689.
- Schmitt, E., Moulinier, L., Fujiwara, S., Imanaka, T., Thierry, J.-C. & Moras, D. (1998). EMBO J. 17, 5227–5237.
- Shiba, K., Motegi, H., Yoshida, M. & Noda, T. (1998). Nucleic Acids Res. 26, 5045–5051.
- Suzuki, K., Sato, Y., Maeda, Y., Shimizu, S., Hossain, M. T., Ubukata, S., Sekiguchi, T. & Takénaka, A. (2007). Acta Cryst. F63, 608-612.